

### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

# **Planning Division**

# **Draft Negative Declaration**

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

WARD: 6

1. Case Number: P14-0026 (Conditional Use Permit) & P14-0027 (Design Review)

2. **Project Title:** McDonalds

3. **Hearing Date:** October 9, 2014

4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Kyler Smith, AICP, Associate Planner

**Phone Number:** (951) 826-5220

6. **Project Location:** 10952 Magnolia Avenue, situated on the southerly side of Magnolia Avenue,

between Park Sierra Avenue and La Sierra Avenue.

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

**Applicant** 

Scott Wilkenson, Area Construction Manager

McDonalds Corporation, LLC

3880 Kilroy Airport Way, Suite 200

Long Beach, CA 90806

**Architect** 

Andrew Rappe, Project Manager 3401 Centerlake Drive, Suite 330

Ontario, CA 91761

8. **General Plan Designation:** MU-U - Mixed Use Urban

9. Zoning: CR-X-10-SP - Commercial Retail and Building Setback (10 feet from Magnolia Avenue), and

Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones

#### 10. Description of Project:

Proposal by McDonalds Corporation, LLC for consideration of a Conditional Use Permit to permit a drive through business ("McDonalds"), and for Design Review of the plot plan and building elevations related to the construction of an approximately 5,160 square foot fast food restaurant including an indoor play area, indoor &

outdoor eating areas, and an approximately 220 foot long "side-by-side" drive thru, located on a vacant site at 10952 Magnolia Avenue, situated on the southerly side of Magnolia Avenue, between Park Sierra Avenue and La Sierra Avenue, located adjacent to the existing "Walgreens", in the CR-X-10-SP — Commercial Retail and Building Setback (10 feet from Magnolia Avenue), and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones, in Ward 6.

#### 11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Vacant	MU-U - Mixed Use Urban	CR-X-10-SP – Commercial Retail and Building Setback (10 feet from Magnolia Avenue), and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones
Northeast	Vacant	MU-U - Mixed Use Urban	R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential
Southeast	Commercial, Vacant	MU-U - Mixed Use Urban	CR-SP – Commercial Retail and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones
Southwest	Commercial	MU-U - Mixed Use Urban	CG-X-10-SP – Commercial General and Building Setback (10 feet from Magnolia Avenue), and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones
Northwest (across Magnolia Avenue)	Commercial	MU-V - Mixed Use Village	CR-SP – Commercial Retail and Specific Plan (Magnolia Avenue) Overlay Zones

# 12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

#### 13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Traffic Impact Analysis
- d. Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan, prepared by Core States Group
- e. Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, prepared by Inland Engineering Technologies, Inc.

#### 14. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study
AQMP -	Air Quality Management Plan
AUSD -	Alvord Unified School District
CEQA -	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP -	Congestion Management Plan
EIR -	Environmental Impact Report
EMWD -	Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP -	Emergency Operations Plan
FEMA -	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FPEIR -	GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
GIS -	Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission

RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked be impact that is a "Potentially Significant			st one	
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	☐☐Air Quality		
☐Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils		
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality		
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise		
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation		
☐ ☐ Fransportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance		
<b>DETERMINATION:</b> (To be completed on the basis of this initial evaluation)		gment of the City of Riverside	e, it is	
recommended that:	a water research and marpenant jung	gineni er une egy er ruversion	, 10 10	
The City of Riverside finds that the propound a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will		eant effect on the environment,	$\boxtimes$	
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.				
The City of Riverside finds that the prop ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT		ect on the environment, and an		
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.				
The City of Riverside finds that although because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable sEIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, i proposed project, nothing further is required.	s (a) have been analyzed adequately in a standards, and (b) have been avoided or m including revisions or mitigation measure	n earlier EIR or NEGATIVE itigated pursuant to that earlier		
Signature		Date		
Printed Name & Title		For <u>City of Riverside</u>		



### **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

## Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

# **Environmental Initial Study**

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.



ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:		Theorporateu		
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways)  The City's General Plan 2025 policies aim at balancing develop objectives. As this project complies and implements the Magnolia thru lanes & windows from fronting on Magnolia Avenue, impacts impacts to scenic vistas are less than significant impacts.	ment interest Avenue Speci	A – Scenic and s with broade fic Plan stand	or community ard which pre	preservation cludes drive-
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Po 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC Z The General Plan 2025 designates several roadways as Scenic I resources and enhance the visual character of Riverside. The propodesignated as a Scenic/Special Boulevard within the Circulation at 2025. Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan includes policies intended resources, such as precluding drive-thru lanes & windows from from	Table 5.1-A blicy Manual, (one) Boulevards are sed project is and Community to minimize ting on Magno	- Scenic and Title 20 - Cu and Parkways located along y Mobility Eleaesthetic impa blia Avenue, a	Special Bould Itural Resource in order to p Magnolia Ave ement of the of acts and impact	evards, Table ces and, Title rotect scenic nue which is General Plan cts on visual
with these policies. Based on this, impacts related to scenic resource  c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	es are less than	significant.		
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20 Guidelines, and Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan)  The proposed project is required to implement the Magnolia Avenue & windows from fronting on Magnolia Avenue. The project is Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines. Due to all these factors, of character and quality of the area are less than significant impacts.	ue Specific P s subject to D	lan standard vesign Review	which preclud consistent wit tive impacts of	es drive-thru h established
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			$\boxtimes$	
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Carona Specific Plan)  The proposed project involves the construction of a 5,160 squaintroduction of new lighting typically associated with commercial which exists in the surrounding area and would not be considered signal Palomar Lighting Area. The impact is less than significant.	citywide Designer foot fast foot development.	on and Sign Good restauran This lightin	t, which will would be si	involve the milar to that

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – A Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table)  The project is located in an urbanized area of the City in an existing identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands within prohave no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on agricultural urban support agricultural	ng commercia agricultural eximity of the	l development resources or o	t. Additionall	y, the site is There are no
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - W Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use A review of Figure 5.2-2 – Williamson Act Preserves of the General located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preserves project site is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	es, and Title 1 al Plan 2025 l e or under a V	<b>9</b> ) FPEIR reveals Villiamson Ac	that the project Contract. M	ect site is not Moreover, the
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	timberland.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)  The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	timberland,
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability, Figure OS-3 – Williamson Act Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones – RC Zone and RA-5 Zone and GIS Map – Forest Data)  The project is located in an urbanized area of the City in an existing commercial development. Additionally, the site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. The project will not result in the conversion of designated farmland to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands within proximity of the subject site. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent native tree cover. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively to conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or to the loss of forest land.				
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
<b>a.</b> Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP))  Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered con forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments.	and populationsistent with the st travel demanda. QMP, Regional to with the pro-	on forecasts in the AQMP grown and and air quality and Transportations of en	dentified by to with projections ality for plann tion Improvent apployment an	the Southern s, since these ing activities aent Program d population
Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Since the project is consister the AQMP. The project will have a <b>less than significant</b> implementation of an air quality plan.	nt with the Gen	neral Plan 202	5, it is also co	nsistent with
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				
3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tabel Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's EMFAC 2007 Model)  The results of the air quality model showed that the proposed particular SCAQMD thresholds for significance for air quality emissions and indirectly and cumulatively to ambient air quality and will not contri	oroject would it was determ	generate emi	2007 Model of sissions far love than signific	r CalEEMod, wer than the
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?  3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table)				Significance

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	•
Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management Distri 2007 Model or CalEEMod 2007 Model, EMFAC 2007 Mod		_	agement Plar	, URBEMIS
	•	dan 4h a Ca	l Dlam and	
Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction result in significant levels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precure emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants results in the construction of the constructio	sors, PM-10,	PM-2.5 and	CO. Althoug	
The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designat 2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, standards.				
Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 202 result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumula Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding considerations.	tive analysis o result in any	of build out an new signific	ticipated under ant impacts th	r the General nat were not
FPEIR. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impacts are less				
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			$\boxtimes$	
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tall Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management Distri 2007 or CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model)				
Short-term impacts associated with construction from General Pla emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. I requires individual development to employ construction approaches FPEIR MM AIR 1- MM AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust control conformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 and MI analyzed short-term construction and long-term operational related i project would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term const the project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial polluta will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively for this project.	Mitigation Methat minimized only tuning each AIR 7 and Umpacts of the truction and let	easures of the e pollutant em quipment, lim RBEMIS or C project and de ong-term oper	General Plan issions (Generations (Generations truck id CalEEMod constermined that ational impact	2025 FPEIR ral Plan 2025 ling timesIn aputer model the proposed s. Therefore,
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
3e. Response:  While the proposed use may potentially expose people to objectionable odors, industrial and commercial uses that could generate objectionable odors are subject to SCAQMD Rule 402 governing odor emissions. Through compliance with SCAQMD Rule 402, the proposed project is not anticipated to cause objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people and a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.				
Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP	CP Cores and	Linkages, Fi	gure OS-8 –	MSHCP Cell

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With	Significant Impact	Impact
		Mitigation Incorporated		
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic	Plant Specie	s Survey Are	a, Figure 5.4	-7 – <i>MSHCP</i>
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCI	_	-		
The project site is located within an urban built-up area and is sur				
MSHCP database and other appropriate databases identified no pot				
suitable habitat for such species on site, Federal Species of Concern Species Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Native plant				
that any Federally endangered, threatened, or rare species or their ha				
significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur				
their habitats.				1
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or				$\boxtimes$
other sensitive natural community identified in local or				
regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California				
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife				
Service?				
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St				
Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP				
Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic				
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC				
- Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine A			,	
The project is located on a previously developed/improved site with	in an urbanize	ed area where	no riparian ha	bitat or other
sensitive natural community exists on site or within proximity to				
impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural commun				
regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or	U.S. Fish and	Wildlife Serv	ice directly, ii	ndirectly and
cumulatively.				
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act				
(including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal,				
etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological				
interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS	Quad Map Lo	ayer)		
The project site is located within an urban built-up area, contains e	existing develo	opment, and h	as a long histo	ory of severe
disturbance such that the project would not have a substantial adver-		• •		•
Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, m	-			
filling, hydrological interruption or other means. Therefore, a <b>less</b>				
and cumulatively to federally protected wetlands as defined by Se limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal,				
3	Immg, nyarok			
<ul> <li>d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with</li> </ul>				
established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors,				
or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 - Figure	OS-7 – MSH	CP Cores and	Linkage)	
The project site is located within an urban built-up area and is not w				s a history of
severe disturbance such that there is little chance that the project wo		-		•
or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native reside	nt or migrator	y wildlife com	ridors, or impe	ede the use of
native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, a less than significant in				
related to the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or				
migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife	nursery sites	will occur w	ith implemen	tation of the
proposed project.				
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or			$\boxtimes$	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ordinance?		Incorporated		
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 - Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 - Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)  Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable F to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In ad Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP mi Threatened and Endangered Species Fees.	a Threatened Tederal, State, dition, the pro	and local policification is required	gered Species cies and regula d to comply w	Fees, City of ations related ith Riverside
Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual docume and removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications care established by the International Society of Arboriculture, th National Standards Institute. Any future project will be in complia within a City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be <b>less than s</b>	ents guideline in the Manual e National A nce with the	s for the plant are based on rborists Associ	ing, pruning, national stand ciation, and th	preservation, lards for tree ne American
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephen Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan a Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)	s' Kangaroo	Rat Habitat	Conservation	Plan, Lake
The project site is located on a previously improved site within an Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. Therefore, the project Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan conservation plan.	approved loc will have <b>no</b>	al, regional, or <b>impact</b> on the	State habitat provisions o	conservation f an adopted
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code) The project is located on a site where no historic resources exist as Therefore, no impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively to historic	defined in Se	ection 15064.5		
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arch Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources The project is located on a site where no archeological resource	ources Study)			
Guidelines. Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> directly, indirectly and cumulativ				i inc chyA
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The project is located on a site where no paleontological resource. Guidelines. Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> directly, indirectly and cumulative				of the CEQA
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				$\boxtimes$
5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc Cultural Resources Sensitivity)  The project is located on a site where no known human remains cumulatively to historical resources are expected.	-	-		
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
<ol> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</li> </ol>				
Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)  Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Ci project site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	for fault ruptu	re or seismic s	shaking is low	. Compliance
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendent The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potent cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project complete associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact	f the City, or tial to cause notes with Californian	the Elsinore noderate to lar ornia Building	Fault Zone, long earthquake Code regulation	es that would
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)  The project site is located in an area with high potential for liquefac Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the California Buildir seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction are reduced to and cumulatively.	with High S etion as depicting Code regular	thrink-Swell P ed in the Gene ations will ens	otential, and a eral Plan 2025 sure that impa	Appendix E –  Liquefaction cts related to
iv. Landslides?				
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figur – Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Prevention Plan SWPPP)	Title 17 – Gr	ading Code, a	and Storm Wo	ater Pollution
The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. The directly, indirectly and cumulatively.			npact related	
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				1

	Detentially	Logg Thon	Logg Thon	No
ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES).	•	Mitigation	-	
		Incorporated		<u>.                                    </u>
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.				igure 5.6-4 –
Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code		-		
Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. Stat				
implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SW				
construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nation regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for which				
Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measur				
State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 wil				
than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	r chistire that i	on crosson or	loss of topsol	Will be less
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that			$\boxtimes$	
would become unstable as a result of the project, and				
potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral				
spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 - Reg	ional Fault Z	ones, Figure	PS-2 – Liquef	action Zones,
General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 - Soils with				
Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B				_
The general topography of the subject site are Buchenau, Hanford				
codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2025 help to			to geologic c	onditions are
reduced to <b>less than significant impacts</b> level directly, indirectly an	d cumulativei	y. I —		
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of			$\boxtimes$	
the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
	CA Calla	Eima 5 ( A	C - :1 - T - 1.1 -	5 ( D Cail
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potenti				
Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set o				
Expansive soil is defined under <i>California Building Code</i> . The soi		-	_	
Arlington (See Figure 5.64 – Soils of the General Plan 2025 Pr				
provisions of the City's Subdivision Code- Title 18 and the Californ				
the expansive soils will be reduced to a less than significant i	mpact level	for this proje	ct directly, ir	directly and
cumulatively.				
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of				
septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems				
where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste				
water?				<u>.                                    </u>
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6	,		• • •	1 1 1 1
In that the proposed project will be served by a septic tank a percol geotechnical or soils engineer, is required that addresses the sit				
groundwater supplies. Approval of the County of Riverside Environ				
Control Board is also required. The compliance of the project des				
ensure that soils are capable of supporting the use of a septic system				
impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.		•		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:					
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?					
<b>7a. Response:</b> Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment a considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning active and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the identified by the SCAG that are consistent with the General Plan 2 size and scope of the proposed project, a Climate Change Analysis project related impacts (both construction and operational) would direct, indirect or cumulative impact on the environment. Thus, indirectly and cumulatively.	ese forecast n ities such as to projections 2025 "Typical was commissi produce GhG	umbers were the RTP, the Sof employmer Growth Scenarioned by the apemissions that	used by SCAC SCAQMD's A at and populat ario." However pplicant to det t would have	G's modeling QMP, RTIP, ion forecasts er, due to the ermine if the a significant	
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?					
<b>7b. Response:</b> The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies of Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Question A, above, the project would comply with the City's Gen designed to reduce GhG emissions In addition, the project wou regulations during construction and, as demonstrated in the Climat goals of reducing GhG emission to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Ord Analysis for this project and the discussion above, the project or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GhG and the indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.	Greenhouse eral Plan poliuld comply we Change Ansstated in AB er S-3-05. Bawill not confl	Gas (GhG) the cies and State with all SCAC alysis, will not a 32 and an 80 ased upon the ict with any	mreshold. As Building Coo MD applicable interfere with percent reduced prepared Clinapplicable pla	indicated in de provisions de rules and h the State's ction in GhG mate Change in, policy or	
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:					
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?					
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)  The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?					
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Eld Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Feder Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area Strategic Plan)  The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazardous	eral Regulation – Multi-Juris materials. As	ons, Californi sdictional LH s such the pro	ia Building ( MP, 2004 Pa oject will have	Code, City of ort 1, OEM's e no impact	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of ha	zardous mater		nvironment.	
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of Code)	2 – RUSD B Schools, Fig	oundaries, Ta gure 5.13-4	ble 5.13-D RU – Other Sci	USD Schools, hool District
The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing school. Therefore, the project will have <b>no impact</b> regarding emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A – CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C – DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)				
A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Go site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would h public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively				
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - A and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A The project site is not located within any airport land use plan area	prehensive L August 2005)	and Use Pla	n (1999), Aii	Installation
<b>no impact</b> resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or cumulatively.				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP)  Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdi Plan)				
The project will be served by existing, fully improved streets. All str Fire Departments' specifications. Any street closing will be of sho				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact	
		Incorporated			
emergency response or evacuation plan. Therefore, the project will and cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.	nave a <b>less th</b>	an significant	impact direct	ly, indirectly	
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?					
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas, GIS Map Layer VHFSZ 2010, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and OEM's Strategic Plan)					
The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildl High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a	VHFSZ; ther				
either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occu	r.				
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:					
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A - Be Hydrology Study and/or Water Quality Management Plan Parenas. Upon construction of the buildings and parking lot for this increase slightly with additional landscaped area. A preliminary W Works Department for this project. Furthermore, under the NPDE required to institute new water quality BMPs, as no new runoff currently and will continue to be conveyed by local drainage facility facilities, and then ultimately to the receiving waters. To address proposed with applicable Federal, State, and local water quality regular During the construction phase, a final approved WQMP will be restate's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered by measures will be required to be implemented to effectively controlled pollutants during construction. Given compliance with a surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significated water quality standards or waste discharge.  b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere	orepared by Compared by Compared by Compared by Compared by Compared by Compared by the Santa And Property of the Santa An	ore States Grourface, with the permeable are not submitted an aged by the Restated from the throughout the contaminants of the project, as we have RWQCB. It is a see of surface to the surface of sur	ne exception of ea of the pro- nd approved by the project. Urbee City to region, the project is the project in	of landscaped ject site will by the Public project is not pan runoff is possible to the project of the project is not pan runoff is possible to the project of the project is not pan runoff is possible to the project in the project	
substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - R Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water WMWD Urban Water Management Plan) The proposed project is located within the Arlington Water Supply.	F-3 – Weste Supply Basins	rn Municipal s, RPU Urban	Water Distr Water Mana	rict Projected gement Plan,	

system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.		-			
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?					
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan)  The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one acre implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term in Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit p significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drawing drawing the alteration of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	r the prevention inplementation process. There ainage pattern	on of runoff du of projects are efore, the proj	ring construct re addressed a	ion. Erosion, as part of the	
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan)  Underground storm drains and streets are designed to accommodate the 10-year storm flow from curb to curb, while 100-year storms are accommodated within street right-of-ways. The runoff from the project in a developed condition has been studied and is required to be attenuated on-site, so although the drainage pattern will be altered the off-site discharge is the same as the undeveloped condition. Therefore, there will be less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively in the rate or amount of surface runoff that it will not result in flooding on- or off-site.					
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					
9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan) Within the scope of the project is the installation of storm water drainage system, specifically as described within the project description portion of this project. As the storm water drainage system will be installed concurrently with the construction of this project, the storm water drainage system will be adequately sized to accommodate the drainage created by this project. The project is expected to generate the following pollutants: sediment/turbidity, nutrients, trash and debris, oxygen demanding substances, bacteria and viruses, oil & grease, and pesticides. These expected pollutants will be treated through the incorporation of the site design, source control and treatment control measures specified in the project specific WQMP. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mitigated through the project site design, source control, and treatment controls already integrated into the project design, the project will not create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					
9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific – Stormwater Pollution Plan)  The project is over one are in size and is required to have covera Activities (SWPPP). As stated in the Permit, during and after consimplemented to reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts resensured that the development does not cause adverse water quality System (MS4) permit through the project's WQMP.  The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious spaved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops;	age under the astruction, bestulting from one ty impacts, processor of the surface area in the surface area.	State's Generated management development. The ursuant to its in the City. The	al Permit for t practices (Bl Furthermore, Municipal Se	Construction MPs) will be the City has parate Storm area includes	
therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This development that have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BM	ment has been	n required to p	repare prelim	inary BMP's	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	_
The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. The less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.		tructed as par		
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
<b>9g. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps) A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G Effective Date August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard areas of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR, indicates that project site is an in area with 0.2% annual chance of flood hazards. The City Municipal Code, Title 16 Buildings & Construction, Chapter 16.18 Flood Hazard Area & Implementation of National Flood Insurance Program, Sec.16.18.050 requires new construction located within a 100-year flood zone to mitigate flood hazards by including onsite drainage, anchoring methods to prevent floating structures, elevating buildings above flood levels, and flood proofing, which requires the building to be inspected and certified by a professional engineer, surveyor or building inspector. Therefore, impacts of flood hazards to the proposed project will be <b>less than significant</b> directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			$\boxtimes$	
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps) The proposed project may affect or be impacted by a 100-year flood hazard area as depicted in Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G Effective Date August 28, 2008). However, the City Municipal Code, Title 16 Buildings and Construction, Chapter 16.18 Flood Hazard Area & Implementation of national Flood Insurance Program, Sec.16.18.050 requires new construction located within a 100-year flood zone to mitigate flood hazards by including onsite drainage, anchoring methods to prevent floating structures, elevating buildings above flood levels, and flood proofing, which requires the building to be inspected and certified by a professional engineer, surveyor or building inspector. Therefore, the potential to place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows will be less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively				
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flow The project site is located within an area with a 0.2% chance of Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the 06065C0715G Effective Date August 28, 2008) due to the location of on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard of Mockingbird Canyon and Harrison Dams, and may be affected failure, first flow waters are expected to reach the site in 48 - 107 within an area with 0.2% annual chance of flood hazards and dam it to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, incidam.  The City Municipal Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Section Chance of Flood Prone Lands and Drainage and Title 16 Buildings 8 and Drainage 9 and Drain	annual flood National Floo of Mockingbir Areas. The pro- in the event minutes. The inundation are luding flooding opter 18.210 – & Constructio	risk as depice of Insurance of Canyon and oject is located of a dam failure efore, the present that would eng as a result of Development n, Chapter 16	ted on Genera Rate Map (N Harrison Dam within the invare. In the ever oject will place expose people of the failure of Standards, Sec. 18 Flood Hai	al Plan 2025  Map Number as as depicted undation area ent of a dam be a structure or structures of a levee or a levee or structures of a levee or ection 18.210-zard Area &
Implementation of Natural Flood Insurance Program, Sec. 16.8050 a 0.2% chance of annual flood risk to mitigate flood hazards by infloating structures, elevating buildings above flood levels, and flood and certified by a professional engineer, surveyor or building in Section 1103 through 1103.4 requiring notification to those potent	cluding onsited proofing, when the proofing is a spector. Inclu	drainage, and nich requires the ding complian	horing method he building to nce with State	ds to prevent be inspected c Civil Code

flood hazard or dam inundation area. Therefore, the potential to place a structure within an area that would expose people

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
directly, indirectly or cumulatively.		•			
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			$\boxtimes$		
<b>9j. Response:</b> (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydrogen Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.			a coastal area	, no impacts	
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the project:					
a. Physically divide an established community?			$\boxtimes$		
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, Project site plan, City of Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surrounding area providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliance with the requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are less than significant.					
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, - Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 Plan, Title 19 - Zoning Code, Title 18 - Subdivision Code 20 - Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 - Buildings and Code Although the project is located within the boundaries of the MSHCP As well, the project is consistent with the Magnolia Avenues Specific of Statewide, Regional or Areawide Significance. Any variances findings contained in the case record. Pursuant to the Riverside Munsignificant impact on any applicable land use plan, policy, or regular	7 - Redevelope, Title 7 - Non instruction and the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the c	ment Areas, poise Code, Title de Citywide Des designed to be de General Plan is project can As such, this project	Magnolia Avele 17 – Gradin sign and Sign consistent with 2025 and it is be justified by roject will have	enue Specific ng Code, Title Guidelines) n these plans. not a project based on the	
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				$\boxtimes$	
10c.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025, – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings an Guidelines  The project site is located on a previously improved site within an Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. Therefore, the project Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan conservation plan.	Redevelopme Code, Title 7 and Construction curbanized area approved loc will have no	ent Areas, enternation of the content on the content of the conten	er appropriate Title 17 - Gra de Design and impact an ador State habitat e provisions o	Specific ading Code, Sign  Opted Habitat conservation f an adopted	
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.					
Would the project:					
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M	Aineral Resou	rces)			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
The project does not involve extraction of mineral resources or grading activity. No mineral resources have been identified on the project site and there is no historical use of the site or surrounding area for mineral extraction purposes. The project site is not, nor is it adjacent to, a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated in the General Plan 2025, specific plan or other land use plan. Therefore, the project will have <b>no impact</b> on mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					
<b>11b. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the C ability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project there is <b>no impact</b> .	the City of S General Plan 2	phere Area wh 2025 would no	t significantly	preclude the	
·					
12. NOISE.  Would the project result in:					
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					
Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Road N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – No significant increases in noise levels on adjacent uses are antivehicular traffic on Magnolia Avenue is a larger contributor to the required to be conducted in compliance with the City's Noise Ordinathe Noise Ordinance will insure that any increased noise level should approved as part of the General Plan and should not be detrimental to constructed, a temporary increase in noise levels due to construction should be limited by compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance disturb a residential neighborhood to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. we construction noise is permitted on Sundays or federal holidays.	Flabob Airpo Noise Compare & 5.11-E - 1 Noise Code) icipated, espece existing noi ance (Title 7 of d not be more of any surround on/grading acti (Title 7), who	rt Noise Contactibility Criteria Interior and a cially in light of the Municipa than what was ding land uses. In the Municipa than in the Municipa than what was ding land uses. In the Municipal than the	ours, Figure 2. ia, FPEIR To Exterior Nois of the fact than it. Site opera al Code). Con a previously co As the ir. Potential r istruction nois	N-9 - March able 5.11-1 - e Standards, the existing tions will be appliance with posidered and project is noise impacts that would	
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 In Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Road N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and In ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G - Vibration Source - Noise Existing Conditions Report)  A temporary increase in noise and vibration levels may be noticed will be subject to compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance and Also, with the development and use for up to five single family residuance.	lway Noise, F Flabob Airpo urce Levels F during project a less than s	igure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Control or Construction ct construction significant sho	025 Freeway I ours, Figure on Equipment a; however, the ort-term impact	Noise, Figure N-9 – March t, Appendix G ese activities et will occur.	
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 I Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Road N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and I	lway Noise, F	igure N-6 – 20	025 Freeway I	Noise, Figure	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise mentioned on Responses 12a and 12b is not likely to rebased on the nature of the proposed project. The project will be required the Riverside Municipal Code) at all times. Therefore, impacts related of standards established is considered less than significant.	e 5.11-E - 1 Noise Code) esult a substauired to comp	ntial permaner ly with the Cir	Exterior Nois  nt increase in ty's Noise Coo	e Standards, noise levels, de (Title 7 of
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		, $\square$		
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction is Conditions Report)  As the project is constructed, a temporary increase in noise levels du noise impacts should be limited by compliance with the City's Noise that would disturb a residential neighborhood to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 No construction noise is permitted on Sundays or federal holidays.  e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or,	e to construct se Ordinance p.m. weekday	ion/grading ac (Title 7), whic	tivity may occ h limits const	ur. Potential ruction noise
where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))  The proposed project is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport of public use airport and as such will have no impact on people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))  Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within the City that would expose people working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project consists of development anticipated under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – L Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Ge 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG	neral Plan Po Comparisons,	opulation and Table 5.12-D	Employment	Projections-

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
The project involves a commercial business that may directly induce population growth, and may involve additional infrastructure that could indirectly induce population growth. However, the project is consistent with the land use designation established under the General Plan 2025 Program and the additional infrastructure is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Program. The General Plan 2025 Final PEIR determined that Citywide, future development anticipated under the General Plan 2025 Typical scenario would not have significant population growth impacts. Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical growth scenario and population growth impacts were previously evaluated in the GP 2025 FPEIR the project does not result in new impacts beyond those previously evaluated in the GP 2025 FPEIR; therefore, the impacts will be <b>less than significant</b> both directly and indirectly.					
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
<b>13b. Response:</b> (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)  The project will not displace existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the project site is proposed on a previously improved site that has no existing housing that will be removed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, there will be <b>no impact</b> on existing housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)					
The project will not displace any people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the project site is proposed a previously improved site that has no existing housing or residents that will be removed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, this project will <b>have no impact</b> on people, necessitating the need for replacement housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.					
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Fire protection?				$\boxtimes$	
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Locations, Table 5.13-C – Riverside Fire Department Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)  Adequate fire facilities and services are provided by the Riverside Fire Department to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
b. Police protection?				$\boxtimes$	
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers)  Adequate police facilities and services are provided by the Riverside Police Department to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Police Department practices, there will be <b>no impact</b> on the demand for additional police facilities of services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. Schools?					
14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boun Boundaries, Table 5.13-E – AUSD, Table 5.13-G – Stud					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Significant Impact	Significant With	Significant Impact	Impact
in onwarion sockees).	•	Mitigation Incorporated	•	
Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundarie	?s)	meor por accu		
The project is non-residential use that will not involve the addition school age children. Therefore, there will be <b>no impact</b> on the der directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	of any housi	-		
d. Parks?				
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 - Park Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 Types, and Table 5.14-C - Park and Recreation Facilities II.  The project is a non-residential use that will not involve the adversariance.	FPEIR Table Funded in the	e 5.14-A – Par Riverside Rei	rk and Recrections	ition Facility iative)
population. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for indirectly or cumulatively.				
e. Other public facilities?				
Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F - Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H - Riverside Public Library Service Standards)  Adequate public facilities and service such as libraries and communities centers and are provided to serve this project. Therefore, this project will not result in the intensification of land use and there will be no impact on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 - Pa Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 - Master plan of Tr Table 5.14-A - Park and Recreation Facility Types, and To in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D - In Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development In The project is consistent with the adopted General Plan 2025 and will City of Riverside Parks, Recreation and Community Services Department of Riverside Parks, R	ails and Bike able 5.14-C – Inventory of Fees, Bicycle I Il pay applical	eways, Parks A Park and Re Existing Com Master Plan M ble Park Devel	Master Plan 2 creation Faci munity Cente (ay 2007) opment Impac	2003, FPEIR lities Funded ors, Riverside at Fees to the
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
15b. Response:  The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the therefore, there will be <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively		or expansion of	f recreational t	Facilities;

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.</b> Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
<ul> <li>16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H - Exist of Service, Table 5.15-I - Conceptual General Plan Inter - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L. Proposed General Plan, Appendix H - Circulation E SCAG's RTP, and Project Specific Traffic Impact Analysis Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for the better than the required LOS D, and will require minimal off-site if following conditions of approval:</li> <li>Furnish and install Polara Accessible Pedestrian Signal (intersections 1, 2, and 4 (La Sierra Avenue &amp; Magnolia A Sierra Drive &amp; Magnolia Avenue).</li> <li>APS Systems will provide additional safety benefits for the potentially reduce vehicle trips &amp; added delay by encouraging Therefore, the increase in traffic in relation to the existing traffic</li> </ul>	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic ting and Typic ting and Typic ting and Typic ting ting ting ting ting ting ting ting	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Recon 2025, Table 5 fic Study and e proposed pro- project the pro- as conditions s at all crossi ad Street & Manager to travel to the s to walk.	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free Traffic Stud oject. As deter- oposed project of approval, i	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis by Appendix, mined by the will operate ncluding the lized project ue, and Park on foot, and
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards				
established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H - Exist of Service, Table 5.15-I - Conceptual General Plan Inter - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L. Proposed General Plan, Appendix H - Circulation E SCAG's RTP, and Project Specific Traffic Impact Analys Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the projected traffic City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for t better than the required LOS D. In addition, the project is consistent Program (CMP) and its Transportation Demand Management/Air relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street sys cumulatively.  c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic section Impre OS E or F in Element Trafficis) volumes of the he proposed part with the Rive Quality composed in the Rive Quality composed in the Rive	cal 2025), Tai cal Density So ovement Recor 2025, Table 5 fic Study and e proposed pro project the pro- project the pro- project the pro- project the pro- project the pro-	the 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free Traffic Stud oject. As deter oposed project s Congestion efore, increase	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis ly Appendix, mined by the will operate Management in traffic in

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results		Incorporated				
in substantial safety risks?  16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)  The project will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic levels or change the location of air traffic patterns. It is not located within an airport influence area. As such, this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns.						
<ul> <li>d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</li> <li>16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and</li> </ul>						
The proposed project is compatible with adjacent existing uses. As well, it has been designed so as not to cause any incompatible use or additional or any hazards to the surrounding area or general public. As determined by the City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for the proposed project the proposed project will operate better than the required LOS D, and will require minimal off-site improvements as conditions of approval, including the following conditions of approval:  • Furnish and install Polara Accessible Pedestrian Signal (APS) Systems at all crossings for signalized project intersections 1, 2, and 4 (La Sierra Avenue & Magnolia Avenue, Skofstad Street & Magnolia Avenue, and Park Sierra Drive & Magnolia Avenue).  • APS Systems will provide additional safety benefits for those intending to travel to the McDonald's on foot, and potentially reduce vehicle trips & added delay by encouraging more users to walk.						
With these conditions, this project will have a <b>less than signific</b> incompatible uses either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ant impact o	n increasing	hazards through			
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?		Davis W				
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code)  The project has been developed in compliance with Title 18, Sect (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be no impact direct	ion 18.210.03	0 and the City	y's Fire Code	Section 503		
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?						
<ul> <li>16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program - Walk Safe! - Drive Safe!)</li> <li>The proposed project as designed is not in conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As determined by the City Traffic Engineer and a Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for the proposed project the proposed project will operate better than the required LOS D, and will require minimal off-site improvements as conditions of approval, including the following conditions of approval:</li> <li>Furnish and install Polara Accessible Pedestrian Signal (APS) Systems at all crossings for signalized project intersections 1, 2, and 4 (La Sierra Avenue &amp; Magnolia Avenue, Skofstad Street &amp; Magnolia Avenue, and Park Sierra Drive &amp; Magnolia Avenue).</li> <li>APS Systems will provide additional safety benefits for those intending to travel to the McDonald's on foot, and</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>APS Systems will provide additional safety benefits for the potentially reduce vehicle trips &amp; added delay by encourage</li> </ul>			e McDonald's	on foot, and		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	impuct
		Incorporated		
Therefore, the proposed project impacts related to adopted policies, are <b>less than significant</b> directly, indirectly and cumulatively as con		ams supportin	g alternative to	ransportation
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater General 5.8-1 - Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and All new development is required to comply with all provisions of the	er Generation tion for the P d Certified El	for the City of lanning Area (R)	f Riverside's S Served by WM	Sewer Service MWD, Figure
Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality project would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requiren sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because the regulations related to wastewater treatment the project will have a <b>le</b>	Control Boa ents of the R proposed pr	rd (RWQCB). WQCB with r oject is requir	Therefore, to disci	the proposed harges to the
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-I - Q J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWI Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planni Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wordshift of the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 20 determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or	F-3 – Weste 5.16-G – Gel Current and P O Including of Riverside's ing Area Servastewater Intervater or waste 225 where futti-H, 5.16-I, 5.1 the construction	rn Municipal neral Plan Pr Projected Wate Water Reliable Sewer Service yed by WMW grated Master ewater treatme are water and 6-J and 5.16-K ion of new water	Water Distrojected Water Tuse WMWL Elity 2025, Take Area & Top. Figure 5.15. Plan and Central facilities. Towastewater gek of the General	ict Projected Demand for Demand for Demand for Demand 5.16-K-able 5.16-K-able 5.16-L- 16-4 – Water retified EIR.) The project is neration was al Plan 2025
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac	ilities)	1		
The proposed project will result in increased in impervious surface area will generate increased storm water flows with potential to impact drainage facilities and require the provision of additional facilities. However, the Subdivision Code (Title 18, Section 18.48.020) requires drainage fees to be paid to the City for new construction. Fees are transferred into a drainage facilities fund that is maintained by Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. This Section also complies with the California Government Code (section 66483), which provides for the payment of fees for construction of drainage facilities. Fees are required to be paid as part of the conditions of approval/waiver for filing of a final map or parcel map.				
General Plan 2025 Policies PF 4.1 and PF 4.3 require the City to co to fund and improve those systems as identified in the City's Capita will ensure that the City is adequately served by drainage system programs that will minimize the environmental effects of the deve	l Improvements. The General	nt plan. Imple ral Plan 2025	mentation of t also includes	hese policies policies and

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	<b>r</b>			
have <b>less than significant on existing</b> storm water drainage facilities that would not require the expansion of existing							
facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				<u> </u>			
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?							
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-H – Current and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD Table 5.16-I Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan, and Highgrove Water District Master Plan)  The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequate (see Tables t.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-I							
and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the provided supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ojeci wili liav	e no mipaci	resulting in the	e msurricient			
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?							
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service Areas, Figure 5.16-6 - Sewer Infrastructure, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Area Served by WMWD, and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)  The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (Regional Water Quality Control Board). The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario where future wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Further, the current Wastewater Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no impact to wastewater treatment directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.							
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?							
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landfills and Table 5.16-M – Estimated Future Solid Waste Generation from the Planning Area)  The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Build-out Project level where future landfill capacity was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, no impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?							
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public I least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The Cabove State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and I non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as a regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to cumulatively.	Resource Code City is current g Code require 00% of excav I project must uch would no	e requires that tly achieving a es all developm rated soil and t comply with t conflict with	local jurisdict a 60% diversi nents to divert land clearing the City's w any Federal, S	ions divert at on rate, well 50% of non- debris for all aste disposal state, or local			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.		1. 1				
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?						
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)  Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were discussed in the Biological Resources Section of this Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant. Additionally, potential impacts to cultural, archaeological and paleontological resources related to major periods of California and the City of Riverside's history or prehistory were						
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	were found to	be less than	significant.			
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 - Long-Term Effects/ Cumulative Impacts for the General Plan 2025 Program)  Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no new cumulative impacts are anticipated and therefore cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously considered in the GP 2025 FPEIR are less than significant.						
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?						
<b>18c. Response:</b> (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Imeliance Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusubstantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings human beings that result from the proposed project are <b>less than sign</b>	r quality, hydr f this initial st usions in this . Therefore,	cology & wate cudy and found initial study,	r quality, noised to be less that the project w	e, population an significant ill not cause		

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).